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Abstract

Objective
The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical behavior of 2 implants of different macrodesigns placed in low-density bone at the moment of insertion and during bone healing.

Materials and methods
In this split-mouth prospective randomized controlled clinical study, 60 Avinent dental implants (Avinent Implant System) were placed in the posterior maxillae of 30 patients. Each patient received 1 tapered implant with a wide thread (OCEAN) and 1 cylindrical implant with a narrow thread (CORAL). Primary stability was evaluated at baseline by measuring the insertion torque applied and registering the implant stability quotient (ISQ). Periimplant crestal bone loss was evaluated from intraoral radiographs taken at 1 and 4 months after implant placement. Lastly, ISQ was registered after 4 months.

Results
At baseline, both insertion torque and ISQ values were significantly higher for tapered implants ($P = 0.008$). There was less periimplant crestal bone loss at 1 and 4 months with tapered implants with a wide thread ($0.43 \pm 0.27$ mm and $0.59 \pm 0.31$ mm, respectively) than with cylindrical implants with a narrow thread ($0.73 \pm 0.28$ mm and $0.95 \pm 0.43$ mm, respectively), and the differences at both evaluation times were significant ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.001$, respectively). The ISQ values at 4 months were higher for tapered implants with a wide thread, and the difference was significant ($P = 0.014$).

Conclusion
Although both implant macrodesigns can be placed in low-density bone, tapered implants with a wide thread appear to produce better results in terms of insertion torque, ISQ and crestal bone loss 4 months after placement.
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Primary stability of 2 implant macrodesigns

Introduction

Bone density and especially cortical thickness are important factors in achieving adequate primary stability and a successful clinical outcome when placing dental implants. Primary stability is defined as the absence of movement after the intraosseous insertion of the implant. Different types of bone in the jaws have been clinically classified in various ways according to structural characteristics related to the proportion of cortical to trabecular bone. The most commonly used classification is that of Lekholm and Zarb, according to which type I is the most densely compacted bone type, and type IV the most trabeculated, with lower density and thinner cortical bone, which is generally considered less suitable for supporting dental implants. Nevertheless, none of the classification systems take the bone’s biological capacity into account.

In recent years, various quantitative methods for assessing primary stability have been introduced. These can be used to monitor implant stability repeatedly over time. Resonance frequency analysis (RFA) consists of applying a bending load that imitates clinical implant loading and its direction. This provides information about the rigidity of the bone-to-implant union, and the result is registered as a parameter known as the implant stability quotient (ISQ). ISQ values range from 1 (low stability) to 100 (maximum stability). Alternatively, insertion torque is a direct measure of the bone’s cutting resistance during implant insertion surgery. But insertion torque is a mechanical parameter that can be influenced by the surgical procedure, implant design and bone quality.

The success of an implant depends largely on its primary stability, as mechanical stability provides a basis for osseointegration. Bone density and quality, surgical technique, primary stability and, of course, the implant’s geometry are all important factors in achieving implant osseointegration.

Implant design and shape have undergone various modifications over the years, aimed at increasing the contact between implant surface and bone, and increasing primary and secondary stability. An adequate macrodesign must balance compression and traction forces and minimize shear forces to maintain micromovement at a level below 50–150 µm during the healing period. A tapered shape provides the implant with a good basis for primary stability, as it allows the gradual expansion of the bone and minimizes stress at its interface with the surrounding bone.

It has been shown clinically that implants with a tapered design present better stability in areas with lower bone density. The pitch and shape of the thread also influence primary stability, stress and initial bone-to-implant contact. According to some studies, a reduced pitch improves surface contact with bone, reduces the distribution of stress and improves primary stability in low-density bone.

Thus, the aim of this split-mouth prospective randomized controlled study was to evaluate the clinical behavior of 2 implants of different macrodesigns at the moment of insertion in the low-density bone of the posterior upper jaw and during bone healing.

Methods and materials

Recruitment and patient characteristics

The study protocol was approved by the University of Murcia’s ethics committee (Spain) (1933/2018) and was carried out between June 2018 and December 2018 at the university’s dental clinic. Subjects were treated according to guidelines established by the Declaration of Helsinki for medical research involving human subjects. All the subjects provided their informed consent to participate. The entire protocol (clinical, surgical and radiographic) was carried out by a single clinician.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: aged over 18 years; total edentulism in the maxilla necessitating bilateral implant insertion in the posterior third in type III bone within a range of 350–830 Hounsfield units (HU), according to Norton and Gamble’s classification; absence of medical contraindications to oral surgical procedures (ASA I/II); and willingness to provide informed consent to take part. The exclusion criteria were as follows: presence of a disease or condition or use of medication that could compromise healing or osseointegration (diabetes mellitus, severe osteoporosis or bisphosphonate administration); pregnancy or lactation; and radiotherapy of...
the head and neck during the previous 18 months; and refusal to provide informed consent to take part.

Thirty patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were invited to take part in the trial. Before surgery, the patients’ sociodemographic data were registered, as well as their status regarding smoking and alcohol consumption, and their complete medical histories.

**Bone mineral density measurements**

To measure bone mineral density (BMD) in the maxillary posterior third in cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images, a 3D circular region of interest was determined in each and it was between 10 and 20 mm² in area. BMD was calculated in HU. The CBCT images were taken using a Kodak CS 8100 CBCT unit (Kodak) with the following specifications: 18 × 21 cm field of view, 90 kVp, 10 mA, exposure time of 15 s, and spatial resolution of 10 lp/cm and 0.2 mm voxel size. This CBCT unit was calibrated every 6 months in accordance with the Spanish Royal Decree of Dec. 23, 1976/1999. Images were constructed with Carestream 3D imaging software (Carestream Health).

**Dental implant surgery and randomization**

All the surgical interventions were performed under local anesthesia (1:100,000 articaine) by a single clinician at the same drilling speed of 50 rpm with irrigation. Each patient received 2 Avinent dental implants (Avinent Implant System), 1 tapered implant with a wide thread (OCEAN) and 1 cylindrical implant with a narrow thread (CORAL). The insertion of one or the other design in each posterior region was determined using an online randomization service (www.randomization.com). The characteristics of the tapered implant with a wide thread were as follows: internal hex connection, wide thread pitch (1.5 mm), square-shaped thread and thread depth of 0.5 mm. The characteristics of the cylindrical implant with a narrow thread were as follows: narrow thread pitch (0.5 mm), V-shaped thread and thread depth of 0.36 mm (Figs. 1 & 2). The insertion torque of the 60 implants was registered with an Implantmed SI-1023 surgical micromotor (W&H), first establishing an initial insertion torque of 20 N cm and then increasing torque by 5 N cm increments as necessary until the required insertion torque was reached. All the implants were submerged. No healing abutments or provisionalization crowns were placed during the 4-month healing period. In all the cases, the postoperative medication prescribed was amoxicillin (500 mg) every 8 h for 7 days (in case of penicillin allergy, clindamycin [300 mg] every 8 h was prescribed) and ibuprofen (600 mg) every 8 h for 3 days.

**Resonance frequency analysis**

RFA was performed at baseline and 30 days after implant insertion using the Osstell Mentor (Integration Diagnostics). Each measurement was performed twice, 1 from each 90° angle, parallel to the crestal line; the highest ISQ value was taken as the reference value.

**Radiographic parameters**

For evaluation of radiographic bone loss (1 and 4 months after implant placement), a digital radiographic system (RVG 5100, Kodak) was used with
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient sample characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients:</strong> n</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years): mean ± SD†</strong></td>
<td>64.07 ± 9.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex:</strong> n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (30.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21 (70.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status:</strong> n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsmoker</td>
<td>22 (73.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 10 cigarettes</td>
<td>4 (13.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20 cigarettes</td>
<td>4 (13.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol consumption:</strong> n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>25 (83.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>2 (6.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekend drinker</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diseases:</strong> n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>9 (30.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auricular fibrillation</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>3 (10.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>2 (6.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus type II</td>
<td>2 (6.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid hypofunction</td>
<td>2 (6.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive bronchitis</td>
<td>1 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† SD = standard deviation.

**Table 1:** Study population characteristics.
Rinn XCP support (DENTSPLY RINN). All the radiographs were captured at 70 kV, 8 mA and a focal distance of 30 cm. Mesial, distal and total crestal bone loss (mesial + distal/2; vertical distance from the implant shoulder to the first bone-to-implant contact) were measured using ImageJ digital image analysis software (Version 1.46, National Institutes of Health).

### Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package (Version 20.0, IBM Corp.). A descriptive study of each variable was performed. The Student t test for 2 independent samples was used in application to quantitative variables, in each case determining whether variances were homogeneous. Statistical significance was established at \( P \leq 0.05 \).

### Results
This study recruited 30 patients (9 men and 21 women), with an average age of 64.07 ± 9.02 years. Most did not smoke (73.34%) or drink alcohol (83.33%; Table 1). At baseline, both insertion torque and ISQ values were higher for tapered implants with a wide thread (29.14 ± 3.85 and 53.66 ± 2.04, respectively) than for cylindrical implants with a narrow thread (26.25 ± 3.94 and 49.48 ± 7.66, respectively), and the differences in insertion torque were statistically significant (\( P = 0.008 \); Table 2). There was less periimplant crestal bone loss at 1 and 4 months with tapered implants (0.43 ± 0.27 mm and 0.59 ± 0.31 mm, respectively) than with cylindrical implants (0.73 ± 0.28 mm and 0.95 ± 0.43 mm, respectively), and the differences at both evaluation times were significant (\( P < 0.001 \)).

#### Table 2: Comparison of primary stability (at baseline) between study groups (Student t test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Tapered implants with wide thread (n = 30)</th>
<th>Cylindrical implants with narrow thread (n = 30)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion torque value (N cm; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>29.14 ± 3.85</td>
<td>26.25 ± 3.94</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISQ value (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>53.66 ± 12.04</td>
<td>49.48 ± 7.66</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \dagger \] SD = standard deviation.

#### Table 3: Comparison of implant osseointegration between study groups (Student t test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Tapered implants with wide thread (n = 30)</th>
<th>Cylindrical implants with narrow thread (n = 29)</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-month M + D/2† radiographic bone loss (mm; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.28</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-month M + D/2† radiographic bone loss (mm; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-month M + D/2† ISQ value (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>54.21 ± 7.67</td>
<td>49.25 ± 7.24</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \dagger \] M + D/2 = average mesial and distal surface values; \[ \dagger \] SD = standard deviation.
and $P = 0.001$, respectively; Table 3). Lastly, the ISQ values at 4 months after implant insertion were higher for tapered implants ($54.21 \pm 7.67$) than for cylindrical implants ($49.25 \pm 7.24$), and the difference was statistically significant ($P = 0.014$; Table 3).

**Discussion**

This study included 30 patients who received a total of 60 dental implants in the posterior third of the maxilla (with low type III BMD), 30 with a tapered design with a wide thread and 30 with a cylindrical design with a narrow thread. Insertion torque, ISQ and crestal bone loss were measured during the first 4 months of healing.

Insertion torque was found to be higher for tapered implants than for cylindrical implants. This finding coincides with the results obtained in most other investigations of this topic. Menicucci et al. compared insertion torque achieved for tapered and cylindrical implants and also obtained significantly higher torque values for tapered implants ($31.5$ N cm) than for cylindrical implants ($25.5$ N cm).19 In 2000, O’Sullivan et al. also obtained similar results in an ex vivo study, and in 2006, Akça et al. concluded that tapered implants achieve higher insertion torque than cylindrical implants do. They also argued that insertion torque values are more sensitive than ISQ values in terms of revealing biomechanical conditions at the bone-to-implant interface.23

As for ISQ, tapered implants obtained higher values both at baseline and after 4 months of osseointegration (although without a statistically significant difference at baseline). Other studies have also registered ISQ obtaining higher values for tapered implants than for cylindrical implants.22, 23 This finding could be due to tapered implants exerting higher lateral compression force against the crestal and middle bone walls, leading to small differences in ISQ values between implant types, despite significant differences in insertion torque. Similar results were obtained by Sakoh et al., who found no differences in ISQ values between tapered and cylindrical implants in an in vitro study.15 Other authors have also reported that, although insertion torque was higher for tapered implants, ISQ values were similar for the 2 types of implant.10, 15, 24, 25

Thread geometry can be considered an important factor of implant stability and osseointegration. In a study by Steigenga et al., 72 implants with differing thread geometries were placed (V-shaped vs. square-shaped thread) in 12 New Zealand rabbit tibias.26 After 12 weeks, the outcomes were analyzed by radiography and histomorphometric analysis, registering the bone-to-implant contact area and reverse torque. It was concluded that the square thread shape obtained better results in all the analyses performed.

Few studies have been published on the influence of implant shape on implant stability, osseointegration and survival when the implant is placed in low-density bone (such as the posterior third of the maxilla), as shown by the systematic review by Alshehri and Alshehri of clinical studies in humans of tapered and/or cylindrical implants in the posterior maxilla. For this reason, further prospective clinical trials are needed to confirm that tapered implants could be a better option for maximizing primary stability and bone healing in critical areas with low bone density.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, although both the implant designs tested (tapered and cylindrical) may be inserted in low-density bone (such as the posterior third of the maxilla), tapered implants with a wide thread would appear to offer better results in terms of insertion torque, ISQ and crestal bone loss at 4 months after insertion.
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**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1** – Tapered implant with wide thread ($A = 3.5$ mm, $B = 11.5$ mm, $F = 1.5$ mm, $G = 0.5$ mm, $H = 4.1$ mm).

**Fig. 2** – Cylindrical implant with narrow thread ($A = 4.1$ mm, $B = 11.5$ mm, $F = 0.5$ mm, $G = 0.36$ mm, $H = 4.1$ mm).
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